

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,917	07/11/2003	Takashi Nishikawa	63979-028	2411
7590 03/03/2006		EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			ROSE, KIESHA L	
600 13th Street Washington, D	, N.W. C 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/616,917	NISHIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
_	Da a a walk a w 0005				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 E</u>					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under i	·				
·	en parto gadyro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 22-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 7/11/03 is/are: a)⊠ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicati	on No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	, , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20060223			

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the RCE filed 27 December 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori et al. (U.S. Patent 6,674,109) in view of Halliyal et al. (U.S. Patent 6,674,138).

In re claim 1, Fujimori discloses a nonvolatile memory (Figs. 1 and 2) that contains a semiconductor substrate (1), a source region (2) and a drain region (3), which are formed on the semiconductor substrate with a channel region (4) therebetween; a floating gate electrode (6) that is formed on the channel region with a gate insulator film (5) therebetween, a ferroelectric film (7) that is formed on the floating gate electrode and a control gate electrode (8) that is formed on the ferroelectric film, wherein an intermediate insulator film (10) is formed between at least one of the pairs consisting of the floating gate electrode and the ferroelectric film and the ferroelectric film and the control gate electrode. The intermediate insulator film is formed of a high-K dielectric material. (Column 4, lines 23-27) Fujimori discloses all the limitations except

Art Unit: 2822

for the intermediate insulator film made of hafnium oxide that contains nitrogen atoms. Whereas Halliyal discloses a nonvolatile memory device (Fig. 2) that contains an intermediate insulator film (30) containing hafnium oxide with nitrogen atoms. The intermediate insulator film is formed of hafnium oxide with nitrogen atoms to fully replace the nitride layer and to act as a charge storage layer. (Column 6, lines 9-22 and Column 7, lines 29-37, Table 1) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Fujimori by incorporating the intermediate insulator film formed of hafnium oxide with nitrogen atoms to fully replace the nitride layer and to function as a charge storage layer and to allow low electrical thickness as taught by Halliyal.

In re claim 2, Fujimori discloses the intermediate insulator film formed between both the floating gate electrode and the ferroelectric film and between the ferroelectric film and the control gate electrode. (Figs. 1 and 2 and Column 6, lines 8-12)

In re claim 3, Halliyal discloses the gate insulator film made of hafnium oxide that contains nitrogen atoms. (Fig. 1, Column 5, lines 9-12 and Column 6, lines 9-19)

In re claims 4-6, Halliyal discloses the intermediate insulator film contains nitrogen atoms of not less than 0.1 atomic % and not more than 30.0 atomic %. (Column 7, lines 35-50)

In re claim 22, Fujimori discloses the floating gate electrode comprises metal. (Column 5, lines 28-29)

In re claim 23, Fujimori discloses the ferroelectric film comprises a metal. (Column 5, lines 29-30

Art Unit: 2822

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 22-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In regards to applicant's arguments that refer to the Halliyal reference being inoperable with the intermediate insulator film comprising hafnium oxide with nitrogen atoms is erroneous. It is unclear how the nonvolatile memory would be inoperable since the Halliyal reference discloses replacing the nitride layer of the nonvolatile memory device with a high-K dielectric material, which is hafnium oxide with nitrogen atoms. Halliyal discloses that by replacing the nitride layer with a high-k dielectric material (hafnium oxide) then it would function as a charge storage layer and therefore would not be inoperable in the Halliyal reference but would rather be operable and much desired by the Halliyal reference. Therefore the rejection stands.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 571-272-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 8:30-6:00 off Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).